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About this report

This study was carried out on behalf of the Climate-KIC Innovation Scouts program. The Climate-KIC (Knowledge and Innovation Community) is Europe’s largest public-private innovation partnership focused on the mitigation of and adaptation to climate change. An important part of Climate-KIC’s efforts is to facilitate entrepreneurs in bringing clean tech innovations to market, by providing funding, training and access to a network of academic institutions, governments, corporations and investors.

The Innovation Scouts program provides input to support Climate-KIC in facilitating entrepreneurship, by means of studying what makes entrepreneurial ecosystems work and what lessons can be learned from other programs that aim to support (clean tech) start-ups. These studies are performed in collaboration with local key stakeholders. The results from the Innovation Scouts program are open and shared with the rest of the world, thereby providing value for Climate-KIC, local stakeholders and the worldwide start-up community.

This document contains the results of an analysis of the larger Boston start-up ecosystem, one of the most well educated and balanced high-tech start-up clusters in the world. These characteristics make the Boston start-up ecosystem an excellent case study for identification of best practices and interesting support models for high-tech start-ups. Furthermore, the region is known for its leadership in early-stage technology-based clean-tech firms, and the high number of institutions of higher education, including top universities such as Harvard and MIT, show continuous interest in this industry (June & Fargo, 2013).

For this study, we focused on the role of universities in supporting start-ups. In order to do so, we partnered with Greentown Labs, Boston’s biggest start-up incubator that focuses on clean tech and energy related start-ups. These clean tech start-ups find their roots in a variety of industries (e.g. Hardware, Life Science, Software), what makes it a perfect case to draw conclusion that have a broader implication in the start-up community. A total of 42 interviews were conducted among entrepreneurs, universities, incubators, accelerator programs, government programs and investors in the Boston start-up ecosystem.

Our main findings are:

- A culture of “paying it forward” among entrepreneurs and supportive organizations is fundamental to the support of entrepreneurship.
- Diverse and stage-related entrepreneurship support contributes to a balanced and inspirational start-up ecosystem.
- Universities and start-ups naturally have the incentives to sustainably collaborate.
- Universities can promote entrepreneurship as a career path.
- Teaching entrepreneurship demands an “action-based” approach.
- Ownership, leadership and engagement lead to successful collaboration.
- Universities can be excellent piloting sites for new technologies and products.

The remainder of this document is structured as follows. First, a general overview of the Boston/Cambridge start-up ecosystem is created, followed by the most important lessons we can learn from this region. We will then discuss several interesting models that support (clean tech) start-ups.
1. The Boston Ecosystem

Historically, the greater Boston area economy originates from the wool processing industry and the manufacturing industry producing textiles and leather goods. Nowadays, the Boston area primarily relies on a growing technology and service industry. Regional employment can be found in several key start-up clusters, of which Health Care Delivery and Post-Secondary Education are the two largest (Mehta, Martin, & Kahn, 2012).

The Boston area is less known as a major start-up ecosystem in comparison to other major start-up ecosystems such as Silicon Valley, as it is not leading in the consumer Internet industry. Also, the diversity of the clusters in Boston has made storytelling more diffuse. However, the Boston start-up ecosystem generates several clusters with multi-hundred dollar- or over- exits and hundreds of millions in both software, life sciences, robotics and materials industries. Examples are big companies such as Zipcar, TripAdvisor, Kayak, A123, eInk and Kiva Systems. Additionally, it was the Boston ecosystem that generated the highest economic growth rate among all US metropolitan areas in 2009.

For further reading about the Boston ecosystem we also refer to previous research explaining the success of Boston’s start-up ecosystem, which focused on the level of education of entrepreneurs, the funding opportunities of Boston start-ups and the motivation of entrepreneurs to start their businesses (Herrmann, Marmer, Dogrultan, & Holtschke, 2012).

Based on the interviews, the following two characteristics can be considered the most influential to the ecosystems’ success: ‘forward paying’ and ‘diversity’, which we discuss below, underpinned with quotes from the interviews we held in the region.

**Forward paying** - The unprecedented number of public and private universities in the Boston area attract an impressive pool of young talent (Mehta et al., 2012). However, it is the culture of “paying it forward” that enables the founding of successful companies from this potent mix. This is a culture of entrepreneurialism, creativity and generosity; a congenial culture around supporting entrepreneurship. “There is just this kind of willingness to help people out and to sit down and talk with someone, and give them your time to help them.” (Program Manager, Boston). The attitude towards entrepreneurship is incredibly useful when connecting people able to support (inexperienced) entrepreneurs by giving advice or providing a service.

This mechanism also plays an important role in academic knowledge utilization, as Boston area universities build on this willingness to offer support (to donate time and money) to entrepreneurs. Most university entrepreneurship support services rely on mentors, often experienced entrepreneurs, who coach the university’s student start-ups. Other efforts by the university to support entrepreneurship, such as offering incubation facilities or maker spaces, is often seen by the universities as a long-term investment. This helpful culture and way of investing in the entrepreneurial ecosystem can be framed as a culture of paying it forward; when these university-backed entrepreneurs become successful, the universities expects them to show their gratitude for the support they received in terms of taking a mentor position or a financial donation.

Additionally, paying it forward is integrated in the business-to-business sector too. As start-ups often lack sufficient financial resources, they rely on the possibility to make financial debts to develop their firms. In the Boston ecosystem, service providers are willing to take deferred payment and take risk, helping start-ups overcome this obstacle. By means of supporting a start-up, service providers build a relationship with the entrepreneur that grants them future sales when the start-up becomes successful. For example, it is not uncommon for a law firm to say the following: "Until you've got, raised $250,000 or $500,000, we are charging you nothing; we will accrue hours but will take a risk." (Investor, Cambridge)
**Diversity** - The Boston ecosystem is very diverse in terms of universities, start-up clusters and actors supporting entrepreneurship. The area generates strong software and hardware start-ups, as well as start-ups in infrastructure, e-commerce, not to forget biotech (Herrmann et al., 2012). These successful start-up clusters are located around universities. The universities supply talent and knowledge to the clusters. “…every September there is a new flood of students in that are bringing in new ideas in, and then every June some goes out. So, there is constant turnover.” (Investor, Boston) Here, we also find support organizations that have formed around entrepreneurship (business incubators, service providers that are actively engaged such as law, accounting, different kinds of commercialization and product development services around prototyping, manufacturing and technology design) and firms that play an active role in ecosystem investment and financial services.

The Boston ecosystem does not only include above-mentioned supportive organizations, there are different groups that are providing the same type of start-up support but target different start-up clusters and development stages. “The diversity of industries in the Boston ecosystem can be explained as each of these supporting organizations provide a different type of support, tailored towards a diversity of industries, enabling entrepreneurs to find a place with the best fit”. “… when you find you don’t fit in one, you can flow to the other.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville) No matter what your start-up is, there is a hotbed that can help you develop your company. This characteristic of the Boston start-up ecosystem was expressed by one interviewee by using the metaphor of the tidal ecosystem: ”[It is] like in a tidal ecosystem, …there are all these rocks that they can attach themselves to and find some new community that they can fit into. And that new community is not stagnant… There is constant turn over. So, you are constantly being challenged, re-awakened, new ideas coming.” (Investor, Cambridge)

As mentioned earlier, among this variety of hotbeds for entrepreneurs, a sequence can be noted. There are a lot of different programs and facilities that aim towards supporting a specific stage of start-up development (Business plan, prototyping, manufacturing, etc.). This gives entrepreneurs an extra incentive to move from one hotbed to the other, meeting new people and receiving new inspiration and knowledge. Last, the high number of support organizations also generates a lot of networking opportunities. “…if you are looking for a place in any given week you go to the venture cafe or the energy bar of Boston or Mass Challenge. And it’s like atoms hitting each other, there is so many different ways that all these people could bump into each other, so that you finally find what you are looking for.” (Investor, Cambridge)

The Boston ecosystem is doing well in generating new tech start-ups, however up to a certain point. The interviews revealed Boston entrepreneurs tend to make their exit well before their company has grown into a major business with large scale employment. Therefore, the risk exists that the region will function more as a test bed instead of creating employment, an important value for politicians.

Furthermore, the interviews revealed that Boston is not as good at celebrating its successes and being public about everything it has as the west coast (Silicon Valley) is. “So we have a bit of an inferiority complex to New York and the west coast, …for a lot of people in Boston they still don’t understand what the Startup scene is, how important it is to our identity in the city and everyone that’s a part of it.” (Program manager, Boston)
2. Lessons Learned

Above, we highlighted two important characteristics of the Boston ecosystem. Both enable entrepreneurs to exploit the knowledge generated by local institutions of higher education. Within this context of knowledge valorization, this study emphasizes the importance of interaction between universities and start-ups, as these actor types showed to support one-another in a number of core-activities. Interviews with entrepreneurs and university staff point out several important lessons that need to be considered in the process of knowledge valorization through start-ups. First, we address several implications for universities, followed by a number of lessons to consider for start-ups.

Lessons for universities:

• **Universities have the incentives to sustainably collaborate** - In an effort to support start-ups in the development of their organization, technology, product or market, the Boston universities may rely on the local culture of “paying it forward”. The universities invest heavily in incubators, start-up competitions and different educational programs to support university affiliated entrepreneurs, as they know from previous cases that successful start-ups are likely to show their gratitude by donating money back to the university or take a voluntary mentor position to educate incipient entrepreneurs. This mechanism mainly strengthens the knowledge utilization capabilities of universities, such as research commercialization or entrepreneurship support.

  More research-oriented universities may still want to pursue start-up interaction, as the involvement of their academics or students in the start-ups technology development (for instance through collaborative research) contributes to the credibility of academics: they may use their involvement in a state-of-the-art start-up to express their expertise in a specific discipline and gain credibility, something that helps to acquire new funding for performing applied research. This strengthens the (future) researchers competitiveness for research grants (Latour & Woolgar, 1986). Additionally, experience with working in (or in collaboration with) a start-up can be seen as a complementary knowledge/skill set that helps students and academics see “the business side of things” (Professor, Somerville).

  Furthermore, the interviews showed that start-ups can add value to both entrepreneurship and engineering education as they supply state-of-the-art case study material. “So, this is like guys coming back from military actions, giving after action reviews and getting day briefed by a bunch of people on what really happened and what caused it and what could you have done better. As opposed to people sitting around drinking and eating canopies, it's I think a real hard interaction that says, the world of business takes place outside the university. How we bring that world inside the university, so you can study it and so you can learn from it.” (Professor, Cambridge)

• **Universities can promote entrepreneurship as a career path** - Entrepreneurs have a low or no salary, no security, a lot of risk and no brand recognition about what they’re doing. This makes entrepreneurship less attractive as a career path when compared with a career at a large corporation that offers a large salary and a lot of security. Some will therefore find a start-up career too risky or crazy and will choose for a route with a more “predictable success”.

  In order to stimulate entrepreneurship, universities can promote entrepreneurs coming out of university as having made a successful career choice by showcasing or making example of successful university entrepreneurs. “So the Entrepreneurship Center did things such as a wall with a magic set of [successful] entrepreneurs and did a lot personal promotion …and surrounded [entrepreneurs] with a kind of a support of
ecosystem on the personal level…” (Entrepreneur, Somerville) Furthermore, being involved in entrepreneurship through internships or collaborative research projects may also show academics and students the possibilities of entrepreneurship as a viable career path.

• **Teaching entrepreneurship demands an “action-based” approach** – The traditional “text book” ways to teach entrepreneurship do not work for teaching entrepreneurship effectively. Often the programs are too theoretical and do not teach practical skills that help entrepreneurs become successful. “Writing a formal business plan has no correlation with the success of future ventures.” (Executive director) This is where most Boston universities have shifted towards so-called “action-based learning". Action-based learning takes a more practical approach where entrepreneurship students are actually obligated to going outside the classroom and develop a real business, formulating a business model, talking with real potential customers and developing a real product.

  Some entrepreneurship programs choose for a completely action based curriculum and do not focus on training academics. However, these programs rely on academics for teaching and research, therefore academic education should still be considered of great value.

  Other universities solve the issue of teaching entrepreneurship by placing the entrepreneurship training outside of the student’s curriculum; besides offering theoretical courses, entrepreneurship is taught by means of mentoring, incubation and participation in competitions and acceleration programs. Here, the same principle of “action-based learning” applies. “I was a student when I ran the clean energy prize so all I cared about were the students. Then it’s about again, providing platforms for students to innovate and learn. It’s a learning tool. MIT doesn't see it as a way to - the clean energy prize, some people see the clean energy prize and the 100K competition as a way to promote commercialization of technology, but it's not about that. It's about educating entrepreneurs.” (Program manager, Cambridge)

**Lessons for start-ups:**

• **Start-ups have the incentives to sustainably collaborate** – Start-ups often lack the resources to successfully develop and market radical innovations (Vohora, Wright, & Lockett, 2004).

  The interviews showed that universities are able to help start-ups overcome these constraints and contribute to start-up development. Start-ups should be aware of this opportunity, and see the university as a partner through whom they can acquire resources such as knowledge, coaching, furniture, lab equipment or a place to work amongst others. “It was pretty, you know, we tried to use the university for everything, you know, see it as a friendly, helpful resource for anything we could.” (Entrepreneurs, Somerville). Especially for technology-based start-ups there is a fit for resource exchange with the university, as the university possesses a specific set of resource they can use for more technology related activities. “If our venture was low tech, we sort of could have still used many of the MIT resources."... “But the high tech venture has certain needs that fit with the resources of a university such as technical labs for example.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville). However, the resources acquired by university start-up interaction serve for all start-up activities.
• **Ownership, leadership and engagement lead to successful collaboration** - Universities and start-ups operate in different spaces. While the university is strongly focused on generating accurate knowledge and takes time in doing so, start-ups have shorter time-cycles and are more application oriented. When actively collaborating in research projects, this difference between both actor types implies different work speeds. Combined with a large overhead, universities may therefore become a too costly partner for start-ups, making collaborative research difficult. “I think those were more challenging in the sense that it was a fee for service and there are, you know, sometimes we found the academic partners were not as fast and entrepreneurial as we would have hoped and they are burdened with a large overhead, for example.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville)

In order to overcome this barrier, start-ups should use their pre-existing relationships with the university. This makes the collaboration more informal, allowing for a more tailored treatment. “So that I think works more smooth because there’s already a pre-existing relationship. And the employee at Altaeros knew the professor and then it was more informal. And we also worked with MIT’s wind tunnel which also had a pre-existing relationship.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville) At the same time, it is important that start-ups take the time and effort to supply universities with the right information to assure a high quality of research.

Furthermore, start-ups should be reasonable in terms of disclosing technical knowledge during collaboration with researchers and graduate students for academic publication. There should be made clear agreements before collaborating as future conflicts on disclosing IP can be overcome easily in this stage. “There is a clear tradeoff between the expertise given by the academics and the IP disclosure. Clarifying what may be published is important.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville)

Summarized by one of the interviewees, collaborative research demands ownership, leadership and engagement from both parties to become successful. “So I think there’s a certain level of like ownership, leadership and engagement that’s needed to be effective.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville)

• **Universities can be excellent piloting sites for new technologies and products** – Universities offer support start-ups in testing their prototypes or minimum viable products (MVP’s) with piloting new technologies on campus. This enables start-ups to test their product or service among the consumers of the future. “The biggest is feedback of the product. The next is, you know, like, product development, meaning we’re able to test new features in the colleges and see how they react, and that’s been very helpful” (Entrepreneur, Somerville) In return, universities gain social capital as these new technologies contribute to the innovative culture in the university. Especially in the case of clean-tech oriented, universities grasp the opportunity to establish a sustainability focus through piloting these ‘green’ technologies.

However, in order to make such a collaboration work, there should be one central university representative to talk to, as start-ups lose oversight on whom to talk with as some universities can be quite large and bureaucratic institutions. “There are a lot of decision makers that feel involved; there are food service groups, sustainability groups. There are facilities managers; there are also some people who kind of feel like they are involved in the decisions but there is no direct person who just decides what happens. …if there were someone on campus who is always like helps startups pilot things on campus. That would be awesome.” (Entrepreneur, Somerville)
3. Interesting support models

The Boston start-up ecosystem has numerous start-up support organizations and several initiatives that support the utilization of academic knowledge through entrepreneurship. We will now discuss several of these support models:

**Artisan’s Asylum** – Artisan’s Asylum is a non-profit maker space targeting the teaching, learning and practicing of craft of all varieties. Artisan’s Asylum houses a professionally maintained 40,000 square foot manufacturing facility that include the tools and machines with the capabilities for precision metal machining, electrical fabrication, welding, woodworking, sewing & fiber arts, robotics and computer-aided design, amongst others. The Artisan’s is a place where people can mutually play with new ideas or work on something they have planned to build for a while, such as a prototype for their start-up. The shared specialized tools can be used based on a monthly or daily membership, making hardware prototyping for start-ups much more affordable.

Furthermore, the Artisan’s offers courses on how to work with different materials, equipment and software programs against an affordable wage, allowing both hardware and software entrepreneurs to learn new prototyping skills.

Some incubators in the Boston area have institutional memberships at the Artisan’s Asylum so that the their start-ups can use the shared equipment for a reduced fee, lowering the barrier for in-house prototyping.

**Bolt** - Bolt is a seed-stage fund that comes with a number of extras: Bolt not only invests capital, but also offers personnel, prototyping equipment and technical expertise to hardware startups. Thus, on top of the seed capital, member companies get access to a high-end prototyping shop, support from an experienced full-time engineering and design team and active assistance with manufacturing. With this “hands on” approach, Bolt bridges the financing gap for hardware start-ups.

Bolt focuses on slightly more late stage hardware companies and helps them prepare for the first shipping. Typically, companies stay at Bolt for six to twelve months. With their hands on approach and the development of new prototyping technologies, such as Arduino, that make prototyping in the connected devices much more cost and time efficient, Bolt is able to jump into this financing gap. However, Bolt tries to stay away from start-ups with development cycles over a year or those who try to enter markets with multi-year regulatory approval.

**The Cambridge Innovation Center (CIC)** – The CIC started with a simple idea: “Start-ups make the world much better. We can help them by setting up and managing their office for them so they can focus on their business.” (CIC, 2014) Today, the CIC has grown to host over 600 companies, both early stage and late stage, a few hundred meters from the MIT campus. The CIC can be seen as an office space broker tailored to the entrepreneurial demands. The CIC offers 30 day leases and companies may increase or decrease the office space they need per month, meaning that they can grow or save costs when necessary. Entrepreneurs may choose to rent a dedicated office space or a place in the collaborative workspace.

The CIC offers no direct support to the entrepreneurs, apart from a state-of-the-art snack corner/kitchen on every floor. Instead, the CIC chose to create blended communities on every floor in the building, managed by a dedicated community manager. Hereby, the organization creates a very high-density community of entrepreneurs, engineers, investors and
large firms (such as Shell and Google), who are drawn to solving interesting problems and collaborating with others.

Besides these blended communities, the CIC houses the Thursday Venture Café (a weekly event that serves as a physical meet-up helping innovators and entrepreneurs find one another for collaboration or exchange of ideas/knowledge) and many other start-up events, making it a hotspot for serendipitous or non-serendipitous interlinking of actors in the Boston start-up ecosystem.

**Greentown Labs** – Greentown Labs is an incubator space in Somerville, MA, that is specialized in cleantech and energy start-ups. The organization provides access to space, resources, and funding that allows early-stage companies to thrive. Due to the hardware trait of these cleantech and energy start-ups, Greentown Labs offers over 3000 m$^2$ of prototyping lab and co-located office space, a shared machine shop and electronics shop.

The adaptations Greentown Labs made to the incubator model stem from one of the most valuable assets of the incubator; it’s community. Founded by four cleantech entrepreneurs that where looking for a physical place to build their prototype, Greentown Labs is tailored towards supporting the community and this support is strongly demand driven.

Greentown Labs focuses on post-accelerator start-ups, often in need for a place to build their product or improve their technology. It is a place where start-ups can alternate between working in their office or built their product. The incubator works with a rent based model; companies may vary the number of dedicated desks they rent per month and may also rent prototyping space (monthly rent per sqft.). Renting prototyping space only is not possible, as from experience this allows the community to become less involved with one another.

There is no maximal time span for which companies are allowed to stay with the incubator. Given the hardware trait of most member companies, it can be very hard to estimate how long it take to complete a sellable product. Therefore, Greentown Labs has no pre-set incubation cycle.

While Greentown Labs is not the only company that offers solutions for young companies to build prototypes (e.g. Bolt), Greentown Labs is located closer to the city center than most other hardware incubators that allow for the incubation cycles that generally distinguish cleantech start-ups. Furthermore, Greentown Labs offers a strong community with high visibility among investors and federal funding programs against a very reasonable cost.

**MassChallenge** – MassChallenge is by far the largest start-up accelerator in the Boston start-up ecosystem. Each year, 128 start-ups from all industries join the accelerator program. These start-ups gain access to expert mentors, marketing and media resources, funding opportunities and free office space in a dedicated MassChallenge facility.

MassChallenge addresses the seed-stage investment gap in the Boston ecosystem and mutually empowers novice entrepreneurs by providing educational support. As big as the MassChallenge program is, it draws a lot of attention locally, nationally and internationally (MassChallenge is currently expanding to foreign locations), showcasing the Boston’s entrepreneurship assets and places Boston on the map as a start-up hub. Furthermore, as MassChallenge is well known, the participating companies hugely benefit from the credibility they receive from the program in acquiring funding.

In order to create such a big start-up accelerator, MassChallenge relies on the Boston culture of “paying it forward”, as the programs’ biggest assets are its mentors,
connections and funding opportunities. These assets, the program gathers based on voluntary efforts of experienced businessmen and engineers.

With the start of the program, the companies form a team together with 2-4 mentors. The mentors, with different expertise, support the start-ups throughout the accelerator. The top companies are awarded with in total of $1M prize money, that primarily comes from sponsorships from large corporations, taking no equity nor or placing any restrictions on the entrepreneurs.

Thus, by offering early-stage start-ups a game-changing learning opportunity and a higher possibility to get funded, while keeping the entry barrier low (applicants are charged just $100 application fee), MassChallenge catalyzes “a global start-up renaissance”.

MIT Venture Mentoring Service – The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Venture Mentoring Service (MIT VMS) supports entrepreneurs within the MIT community by matching both novice and experienced entrepreneurs with skilled volunteer mentors. The MIT VMS takes a team mentoring approach with groups of 3 to 4 mentors provide practical, day-to-day professional advice and coaching during scheduled mentoring sessions. For this mentoring, the VMS arrays a pool of over 165 experienced startup mentors, selected based on their entrepreneurial and technical experience and Mentors and entrepreneurs are connected based on the needs of the entrepreneur and the interests and availability of the mentors.

To reduce conflicts, mentors have to sign a Statement of Principles that describes their responsibilities and guides their behavior regarding financial involvement with the entrepreneurs and their ventures. Moreover, in order to protect IP, all communications are confidential. When a start-up enters the VMS, they are in the program for as long as required, as the needs of start-ups change as they move forward. This also means that the VMS targets a broad range of business activities, such as product development, marketing, intellectual property law, finance, but as start-ups grow, also aspects such as human resource management find support at the VMS.

The service is offered free to MIT students, alumni, faculty and staff. From time to time, successfully mentored entrepreneurs donate their time and money to MIT out of gratitude, making this initiative pay for itself in the end.

The MassCEC Internship Program – The Massachusetts Clean Energy Center (MassCEC) is a triple helix organization that is dedicated to accelerate the success of clean energy technologies in Massachusetts. This, the MassCEC does in collaboration with local and international cleantech companies, the investment community and several research institutions. The MassCEC offers funding for both start-ups and support organizations, helping to bring ideas to the market.

A more unique initiative of the MassCEC is the MassCEC Internship program. The Internship program connects students and recent graduates with MA cleantech companies that are in need of interns. In order to stimulate intern hiring, MassCEC provides stipends for interns during the fall, spring and summer semester. In return, the students gain valuable experience in the cleantech industry. Serendipitous, the MassCEC created a way for start-ups to acquire free labor, slowing down their burn-rate and increasing their work ability as they find use for interns both in the field of marketing and technology/product development.
An additional benefit from interning with a start-up is that it may provide students access to the network of the start-up. As start-ups are small, the interns have the opportunity to connect with important players within the field the start-up operates in. This allows students to build their network, which they may later on use in their benefit for making career. In order to participate in the program, students or recent graduates must be affiliated with a Massachusetts college or university.
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